Energy response of a mono-axis tracked solar thermal collector with vacuum tubes
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Abstract. Looking towards a sustainable future, Transilvania University of Braşov develops many projects on diverse renewable energy conversion systems; this paper presents a solar tracked solar thermal collector (STC) system, designed for a future implementation on Transilvania University campus. The specific requirement is that the STC system has to be configured to keep a zero energy response during the summer holydays (when the energy consumption is null). The proposed solution describes a mono-axial solar tracking system designed to perform an annual step wise tracking program to capture the most of the available solar energy using a solar thermal collector (STC) with vacuum tubes. The tracking program represents an optimised step tracking law developed in dependence with the diurnal movement of the sun.

Numerical simulations are done for one year interval, for Brasov Romania geographic site, to evaluate the daily variations of: the pseudo-equatorial solar angles (γ, β); the pseudo-equatorial tracking angles (γ*, β*); the incidence angle; the available beam solar radiation (B) and the beam received solar radiation by the STC system (B*).

The energy response of the tracked STC, for Brasov, Romania, is evaluated considering both clear sky and cloudy sky conditions, while neglecting the diffuse radiation effect.
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1. Introduction

Sustainable development is the way towards a safe and a quality future life. Accordingly, the EU institutions (e.g. IEE, SEE, EC-Energy) have designated one top priority: supporting the research and implementation of renewable conversion energy systems.

Aligning our efforts to the world’s direction towards a sustainable development, this paper presents a solar thermal collector (STC) (Fig. 1), easy to manage and maintain, designed to be implemented on Transilvania University campus, Brasov-Romania (45.65° lat. N) [1].

Even though the bi-axial pseudo-equatorial tracking programme leads to an over 99% tracking efficiency for PV systems [2], it can not be implemented on a STC system because of the pipe structure restrictions [3]. Therefore a STC can be tracked only according to a mono-axial tracking law, which can ensure an up to 95% tracking efficiency [2].

For the mono-axial pseudo-equatorial model the tracking law (programme) can be set either for the elevation movement (γ*) – used on string structures [5] – either on the diurnal movement (β*) – used on the stand alone systems.

Because the diurnal movement (β*) has a higher influence in increasing the energetic response than the elevation movement (γ*) [4], the proposed mono-axial pseudo-equatorial stand-alone STC (Fig. 1) functions according to an annual constant γ* = 38° [3] and on an hourly step-wise annual β* tracking programme [3].

Fig. 1. The mono-axial, pseudo-equatorial STC, tracked according to an optimum annual constant elevation angle γ* = 38° [3] and according to an annual β* hourly step tracking program (Fig. 9)
2. Pre-requisites for the diurnal tracking programme modelling

For the present study is considered the STC from Viessman [6]

When considering a tracked STC it is highly important to set the maximum angular stroke covered by the tracking programme (herein: the diurnal stroke $\Delta \beta^*$) which must be performed without damaging the connecting pipes network [3]. Therefore, when considering the maximum angular stroke for a STC tracking programme there are two contradictory aspects that need to be simultaneously accomplished:

1) a large diurnal angular stroke is needed to cover almost the entire solar trajectory on the sky, so to harvest the maximum amount of beam solar radiation;
2) a minimum diurnal angular stroke is needed for the specific tracking movements, not to damage the STC pipe structure during

The compromise situation, in which the energy response is the least reduced by the two contradictory requirements, needs to be found, so to obtain the optimum diurnal stroke ($\Delta \beta^*$) for the proposed mono-axial tracked STC (Fig. 1).

The proposed mono-axial STC is designed to be implemented on Transilvania University campus, therefore it should be turned-on during September to June interval and it should be turned-off during the summer holidays interval, when the thermal energy consumption is null. So another two specific requirements need to be accomplished, by modelling $\beta^*$ tracking programme:

- Excepting the summer holidays (1st of July to 31st of August), the system must reach an over 90% tracking efficiency by covering a minimum diurnal angular stroke ($\Delta \beta^*$) and not damaging the STC pipes during the specific tracking movements.
- To identify the diurnal angular stroke ($\Delta \beta^*$) which lead to a zero tracking efficiency ($\eta_{TRK} = 0\%$) during the summer holydays (when the thermal energy consumption is null) to avoid the over heating of the thermal-liquid Tyfocor, from the turned-off STC.

On the numerical simulations the tracking program for the STC diurnal movement ($\beta^*$) is modelled as a step curve symmetrically drawn from sun’s $\beta$ curve as shown in Fig 2, while STC’s annual constant elevation is set at the optimum $\gamma^*$=38° [3].

3. Tracking Efficiency and STC efficiency modelling

The STC efficiency ($\eta_{STC}$) is described as a ratio between the obtained heat quantity delivered by the STC and the solar energy received by the collector and can be calculated with the equation (1) [6], [7].

![Fig. 2 The continuous variation of the sun diurnal angle ($\beta$) and the step variation of the STC diurnal angle $\beta^*$, depending on the solar time, covering $\Delta \beta^*$ = 180°, during the Spring Equinox day.](https://doi.org/10.24084/repqj09.478)

![Fig. 3 a) the local azimuth angles ($\alpha, \psi$) and their afferent global equatorial angles ($\delta, \omega$); b) the local pseudo-equatorial angles ($\gamma, \beta$) and their global equatorial angles ($\delta, \omega$); c) a mono-axial tracked STC, of pseudo-equatorial type, with its specific angles ($\gamma^*$ and $\beta^*$) relatively to the Earth, the available beam solar radiation ($B$), the STC received beam solar radiation ($B^*$), the normal on the STC absorbing surface ($n_{STC}$) and the incidence angle ($\nu$).](https://doi.org/10.24084/repqj09.478)
\[ \eta_{\text{STC}} = \eta_0 - k_1 \cdot \frac{\Delta T}{B^*} - k_2 \cdot \frac{\Delta T^2}{B^*}. \]  

(1)

In which:

\( \eta_0 = 84\% \) represents the optical efficiency;
\( k_1 = 3.36 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K}) \) and \( k_2 = 0.013 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K})^2 \) are correction factors for the heat loss;
\( \Delta T = 30^\circ \) represents the temperature average difference of the STC and the ambient air;
\( B^* \) - the beam solar radiation received by the mono-axial, tracked STC systems [3], [9].

The tracking efficiency of the STC (\( \eta_{\text{TRK}} \)) is calculated as the ratio between the STC received beam solar energy (\( E^* [\text{Wh/m}^2] \)) and the available beam solar energy (\( E [\text{Wh/m}^2] \)), according to equation (2) [3], [9]:

\[ \eta_{\text{TRK}} = \frac{E^*}{E} = \int \frac{B^* \, dt}{\int B \, dt} \]  

(2)

Considering the ideal permanently clear sky conditions (\( \text{Fcc} = 1 \)) [10], the beam solar radiation (\( B \)) is calculated according to the German School model equation (3, 4) [3], [9], [11]:

\[ B = B_0 \cdot e^{-\frac{\tau_R}{0.9+9.4 \sin \alpha}} \]  

(3)

in which:

\( B_0 = 1367 \cdot [1 + 0.334 \cdot \cos(0.09856^\circ \cdot N - 2.726^\circ)] \)  

(4)

Where:

\( N \) represents the day number in a year;
\( \tau_R \) is the turbidity factor for the considered location [11];
\( \alpha \) is the altitude angle of the solar ray Fig. 3a described by the equation [4]:

\[ \alpha = \sin^{-1}(\sin \delta \sin \varphi + \cos \delta \cos \varphi \cos \omega) \]  

(5)

According to the local pseudo-equatorial angles (Fig. 3b), the sun ray unit vector [12] can be described through the elevation angle (\( \gamma \)) and the diurnal angle (\( \beta \)), given by the following equations Fig. 3b [2], [4], [9]:

\[ \gamma = \sin^{-1}\left(\cos \delta \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \sin \varphi - \sin \delta \cdot \cos \omega\right) \]  

(6)

\[ \beta = \sin^{-1}\left(\cos \delta \cdot \sin \omega\right). \]  

(7)

in which: \( \varphi \) is the location latitude (\( \varphi = 45.65^\circ \text{ lat.N} \)); \( \delta \) represents the sun ray declination Fig. 3a:

\[ \delta = 23.45^\circ \cdot \sin \left(\frac{360^\circ \cdot (\text{N} - 80)}{365}\right). \]  

(8)

\( \omega \) is the sun ray hour angle Fig. 3a [4]:

\[ \omega = 15^\circ (t - 12). \]  

(9)

The STC out-put thermal energy is obtained from the conversion of the normal solar ray component on the STC’s absorbing surface. Accordingly, the STC received beam solar radiation is calculated using Lambert’s law (10) [3], [9]:

\[ B^* = B \cdot \cos \nu \]  

(10)

In which \( \nu \) (Fig. 3c) represents the incidence angle formed between the solar ray unit vector and the normal on the STC absorbing surface:

\[ \nu = \arccos[\cos \beta \cdot \cos \beta^* \cdot \cos(\gamma - \gamma^*) + ... + \sin \beta \cdot \sin \beta^*]\]  

(11)

To obtain realistic and relevant results for Brasov, Romania in-field conditions, a specific factor was synthesised (\( \text{Fcc} \) - ‘Factor of cloud crossing’) to evaluate the beam solar energy loss when crossing the clouds layer [10]. Accordingly, \( \text{Fcc} \) represents the ratio between the energy of the solar radiation under the clouds layer and the energy of the solar radiation above the clouds layer. Therefore \( \text{Fcc} \) is applied to the energetic values \( E \) or \( E^* \). For Brasov, Romania a monthly variation for \( \text{Fcc} \) was set (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fcc</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Numerical simulations

The optimum annual constant elevation angle \( \gamma^* \) was identified based on the STC annual energetic response calculus, considering different STC elevation angle values: \( \gamma^* \in \{10^\circ; 15^\circ; 30^\circ; 31^\circ; 32^\circ; 33^\circ; 34^\circ; 35^\circ; 36^\circ; 37^\circ; 38^\circ; 39^\circ; 40^\circ; 45^\circ; 50^\circ; 55^\circ; 60^\circ; 65^\circ; 70^\circ\} \) and an annual hourly step tracking program for \( \beta^* \). [3]

![Image](https://doi.org/10.24084/repqj09.478)

Fig.4 Variation of the STC annual tracking efficiency (\( \eta_{\text{TRK}} \)) depending on the elevation \( \gamma^* \) (\( \gamma^* = 10^\circ \ldots 70^\circ \)), for the cloudy sky conditions (\( \text{Fcc} < 1 \)), with determination of the optimum \( \gamma^* \) (\( \gamma^* = 38^\circ \)) as the angle with the maximum tracking efficiency [3]

To achieve an accurate annual energetic evaluation of the tracked STC for Brasov-Romania implementation site, the year interval was divided into 12 seasons; accordingly, one season is equivalent to one month. To attain the average seasonal (monthly) values for the energetic response calculus, the 15th day of each month is considered as the equivalent seasonal day.
For each equivalent day of the season were estimated:
- The available beam solar radiation (B) on ideal clear sky conditions (Fcc=1) and on estimated cloudy sky conditions (Fcc<1);
- The received beam solar radiation by the tracked STC system (B*) on ideal clear sky conditions (Fcc=1) and on estimated cloudy sky conditions (Fcc<1);
- The annual tracking efficiency (\(\eta_{TRK}\)) of the tracked STC;
- The annual STC efficiency (\(\eta_{STC}\))

To accomplish the restriction of zero energy gain during the summer holidays, the solar rays must never be incident on STC’s absorbing surface, so for the thermal liquid (Tyfocor) not to get over-heated on turned-off STC. Therefore, is imposed a one-step \(\beta^*\) tracking program with an angular stroke \(\Delta \beta^*\) that is determined further by numerical simulations; so, the absorbing STC surface is facing West – from sunrise to noon and facing East – from noon to sunset.

Therefore, the one-step \(\beta^*\) tracking programmes, with different seven angular stroke were simulated (as Fig. 5 and 6): \(\Delta \beta^*= \{120^\circ(\pm60^\circ), 130^\circ(\pm65^\circ), 140^\circ(\pm70^\circ),..., 180^\circ(\pm90^\circ)\}\). 

Though, in these first six cases the tracked STC fails to accomplish the zero energy gain imposed for summer holiday interval because the solar rays come to be incident on the absorbing STC surface during the noon interval (Fig. 6):
- From 10.0 to 14.0 when \(\Delta \beta^*=120^\circ(\pm60^\circ)\)
- From 10.3 to 13.7 when \(\Delta \beta^*=130^\circ(\pm65^\circ)\)
- From 10.7 to 13.3 when \(\Delta \beta^*=140^\circ(\pm70^\circ)\)
- From 11.0 to 13.0 when \(\Delta \beta^*=150^\circ(\pm75^\circ)\)
- From 11.4 to 12.6 when \(\Delta \beta^*=160^\circ(\pm80^\circ)\)
- From 11.7 to 12.3 when \(\Delta \beta^*=170^\circ(\pm85^\circ)\)

When the beam solar radiation is incident on STC’s surface (Fig. 3c) it’s normal component is converted into thermal energy and thus the thermal liquid (Tyfocor) is warming-up. Tyfocor’s temperature, on a stationary regime, should not get over the value of 170°. This stationary warming-up effect on the thermal liquid will be analysed and evaluated on a future study. Herein is evaluated only the STC received beam solar radiation and the correspondent STC received solar energy.

For the daily intervals on the summer holidays it can be observed that while diminishing \(\Delta \beta^*\) the received beam solar radiation \(B^*\) increases (Fig. 7) and consequently the correspondent solar energy \(E(B^*)\) increases (Fig. 8), which, by solar conversion, warms-up the Tyfocor and endangers the safety of the turned-off STC during the summer holidays interval. Accordingly, the correspondent increase of the \(\eta_{STC}\) (Fig. 9), does not represent a positive effect in this particular situation.

Therefore, to avoid any inconveniences, to ensure the safe working conditions and to obtain a null solar energy gain on the summer holidays interval \(E(B^*)=\text{Wh/m}^2\), \(\Delta \beta^*\) is set at the value of 180° (\(=\pm90^\circ\)).
tracking moves. Therefore different diurnal angular strokes are imposed on $\Delta \beta^* = \{0^\circ; 30^\circ(\pm 15^\circ); 60^\circ(\pm 30^\circ); 90^\circ(\pm 45^\circ); 120^\circ(\pm 60^\circ); 150^\circ(\pm 75^\circ); 180^\circ(\pm 90^\circ)\}$. 

The tracking efficiency is calculated for different seven values of the angular stroke: $\Delta \beta^* = \{0^\circ; 30^\circ(\pm 15^\circ); 60^\circ(\pm 30^\circ); 90^\circ(\pm 45^\circ); 120^\circ(\pm 60^\circ); 150^\circ(\pm 75^\circ); 180^\circ(\pm 90^\circ)\}$, considering both ideal clear sky conditions ($\text{Fcc}=1$) and cloudy sky conditions ($\text{Fcc}<1$) [10].

The results are compared to identify high tracking efficiency and high STC efficiency values corresponding to minimum diurnal angular stroke ($\Delta \beta^*$).

According to Fig 10 $\Delta \beta^*=90^\circ$ (±45°) is the minimum angular stroke needed to reach an over 90% tracking efficiency (92%). Accordingly, it is not profitable to enlarge STC’s diurnal angular stroke from $\Delta \beta^*=90^\circ$ to $\Delta \beta^*=120^\circ$ to gain a plus of 2% tracking efficiency, equivalent to 21 KWh/sq.m/year. Moreover a $\Delta \beta^*=90^\circ$ can rely on the simplest, least expensive actuating variant: an ordinary linear actuator.

After setting the optimum $\Delta \beta^*=90^\circ$ (±45°) for the September-June season, the elevation $\gamma^{*}=38^\circ$ on the pre-requisites: clear sky conditions ($\text{Fcc}=1$) and cloudy sky ($\text{Fcc}<1$).

In Fig. 11 is attained the comparison between the STC efficiency levels and the corresponding tracking efficiency values. Herein can be observed that during the summer holidays interval $\eta_{\text{STC}}\cdot\eta_{\text{TRK}}$ and $\eta_{\text{TOT}} = \eta_{\text{STC}} \cdot \eta_{\text{TRK}}$ are null, accomplishing the zero energy response requirement. Thus the mono-axial, tracked STC is well preserved while is turned-off during the highest temperature in-field conditions. Accordingly, the available beam solar radiation energy multiplied with $\eta_{\text{TOT}} = \eta_{\text{STC}} \cdot \eta_{\text{TRK}}$ gives the value of the thermal energy delivered form STC by solar conversion.
5. Conclusions

The numerical simulations lead to the following results: 

The optimum tracking law for the mono-axial, tracked STC, designed to be implemented on Transilvania University Campus, Brașov-Romania (45.65°lat N.) consists of:

- An annual constant elevation $\gamma^* = 38^\circ$;
- An hourly step tracking program for the diurnal movement, for September-June season, with an optimum angular stroke: $\Delta \beta^* = 90^\circ (\pm 45^\circ)$;
- A one step tracking program for the diurnal movement, for the summer holidays interval, with an optimum angular stroke: $\Delta \beta^* = 180^\circ (\pm 90^\circ)$.

Based on the above results are obtained the following efficiencies: the seasonal tracking efficiency for September-June season is: $\eta_{TRK} = 92.33\%$ on cloudy sky conditions and 92.36\% on clear sky conditions, while for the summer holidays interval: $\eta_{TRK} = 0\%$. Moreover, these results show that on September-June interval the mono-axial STC receives with $\Delta \eta_{TRK} = 21\%$ more beam solar radiation than an optimum fix tilt STC ($\gamma^* = 36^\circ$, $\beta^* = 0^\circ$).

Another main conclusion shows that both the maximum monthly tracking efficiencies and the maximum monthly total efficiencies are registered for March, April, May, September and October.

During the summer holiday interval the mono-axial, tracked STC reaches the required $\eta_{STC} = 0\%$, while the fix tilt STC is reaching an inadequate $\eta_{STC} = 58\%$ meaning either an important waste of energy when the STC is turned-on, either the explosion of the thermal liquid on the turned-off STC.

Considering all these, the proposed mono-axial tracked STC represents an optimised tracking solution for a future implementation in Transilvania Brașov University campus, designed to successfully attain the specific requirements: a zero energetic response during the zero consumption intervals and an over 90\% gain from the available beam solar radiation during the rest of the year.
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